# Cases in Quantifying Risk

### **Ross Cloutier**

### Thompson Rivers University (adventurestudies.ca) Bhudak Consultants Ltd. (bhudak.com)

This document may not be reproduced without the consent of the author 2015

WILDERNESS RISK MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

vww.nols.edu/wrmc | (800) 710-6657 x3

Prelude: International Organization for Standardizations (ISO)

### **RISK MANAGEMENT STANDARDS**

Without the consent of the author 2015

# International Organization for Standardizations (ISO)

- ISO 228 Tourism and Related Services (2014)
  - ISO 21101 (2014) Adventure Tourism Safety Management Systems
  - ISO 21102 (2013) Adventure Tourism Leaders Personal Competence
  - ISO 21103 (2014) Information for Participants



ISO Store > Store > Standards catalogue > By TC > ISO/TC 228 Tourism and related services

### ISO 21101:2014

Adventure tourism	- Safety management	systems Requirements
-------------------	---------------------	----------------------

#### Abstract

Preview ISO 21101:2014

ISO 21101:2014 outlines the requirements of a safety management system for adventure tourism activity providers.

A provider can use ISO 21101:2014 for the following:

- · to enhance safety performance;
- · to meet expectations for participant and staff safety;
- · to demonstrate safe practice;
- · to support compliance with applicable legal requirements.

ISO 21101:2014 can be used by all types and sizes of providers, operating in different geographic, cultural and social environments.









# International Organization for Standardizations (ISO)

• ISO 31000 Risk Management

This document may not be reproduced without the consent of the author 2015

						Français   Русский Members area	Ä	ē
AR A	Standards	About us	Standards Development	News	Store	Search ISO		0
	Benefits	Certification	Management system standar	ds				
_								6

### ISO 31000 - Risk management

Risks affecting organizations can have consequences in terms of economic performance and professional reputation, as well as environmental, safety and societal outcomes. Therefore, managing risk effectively helps organizations to perform well in an environment full of uncertainty.

#### ISO 31000:2009

ISO 31000:2009, *Risk management – Principles and guidelines*, provides principles, framework and a process for managing risk. It can be used by any organization regardless of its size, activity or sector. Using ISO 31000 can help organizations increase the likelihood of achieving objectives, improve the identification of opportunities and threats and effectively allocate and use resources for risk treatment. However, ISO 31000 cannot be used for certification purposes, but does provide guidance for internal or external audit programmes. Organizations using it can compare their risk management practices with an internationally recognised benchmark, providing sound principles for effective management and corporate governance.

#### **Related Standards**

A number of other standards also relate to risk management.

- ISO Guide 73:2009, Risk management Vocabulary complements ISO 31000 by providing a collection
  of terms and definitions relating to the management of risk.
- ISO/IEC 31010:2009, Risk management Risk assessment techniques focuses on risk assessment. Risk assessment helps decision makers understand the risks that could affect the achievement of objectives as well as the adequacy of the controls already in place. ISO/IEC 31010:2009 focuses on risk assessment concepts, processes and the selection of risk assessment techniques.

#### **ISO Store**

IEC 31010:2009 Risk management -- Risk assessment techniques

ISO 31000:2009 Risk management -- Principles and guidelines

ISO Guide 73:2009 Risk management -- Vocabulary

Visit the ISO Store to buy more standards

# RATING THE RISK OF INSURING AN OPERATOR

Case #1



vithout the consent of the author 2015

### Rating the Risk of Insuring an Operator

The risk of insuring an adventure organization depends on:

- Operating standards (level of professionalism)
- Documentation (joining instructions, plans, procedures, waivers, supporting legislation)
- Loss control program (avoidance, prevention, reduction, segregation, transfer)
- Guide competencies (first aid, training, experience, judgment)
- Administrative procedures (staff training, trip planning, safety talks, joining instructions, response procedures)
- Activity volume analysis (frequency)
- Activity risk analysis (severity)
- Operating terrain analysis (simple, challenging, complex)
- Moral risk (business, owner & guide history)
- Claim history (minor & major claims, close calls)

# Scoring Example

	Scoring 1-10	Weight	Total
Operating standards	3	5	15
Documentation content	2	5	10
Loss control program	2	10	20
Guide competencies	2	10	20
Administration procedures	2	5	10
Volume analysis	5	10	50
Activity risk analysis	2	10	20
Operating terrain complexity	2	10	20
Moral risk	2	5	10
Claims history	<u>5</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>50</u>
	27	80	225

WILDERNESS RISK MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

his document may not be reproduced ithout the consent of the author 2015

# **Insurance Rating Scale**

700-800 - AAA	Exceeds the standard by a wide margin – recommend best rate premium
600-699 - AA	Exceeds the standard – recommend reduced rate premium)
500-599 - A	Meets the standard – recommend base premium
400-499 - B+	Does not meet the standard but is insurable – recommend low premium increase
350-399 - В	Does not meet the standard but is insurable – recommend high premium increase)
300-349 - C	Does not meet the standard but is close. Should not be insured
0 < 299 - D	Does not meet the standard and is not close. Should not be insured)

vww.nols.edu/wrmc | (800) 710-6657 x3

This document may not be reproduced vithout the consent of the author 2015

Case #2

### VISITOR SAFETY PLAN SCORING SYSTEM PARKS CANADA







This document may not be reproduced without the consent of the author 2015

















This document may not be reproduced vithout the consent of the author 2015



WILDERNESS RISK MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

This document may not be reproduced without the consent of the author 2015



This document may not be reproduced without the consent of the author 2015

www.nols.edu/wrmc | (800) 710-6657 x3





Parks Parcs Canada Canada

### Visitor Safety Plan

### Western Newfoundland and Labrador Field Unit

2014

### Heritage places covered by this plan are:

Torngat Mountains National Park

### **Table of Contents**

1. C	ONTEXT FOR VISITOR SAFETY	5
1.1.	Introduction	
1.2.	Background	
1.3.	Visitor Activity Risk Assessments	
1.4.	Scope of the Plan	
1.5.	Purposes of the Plan	
1.6.	Context for Visitor Safety Levels of Services	
1.7.	Framework for Information Flow	
1.8.	Responsibilities	
1.8.1		
1.8.2		
1.8.3		
1.8.4		
1.8.5	5. Site Management Team	9
1.8.1	I. Visitor Safety Coordinator	.10
1.9.	Issue Identification	.10
1.10.	Analysis and Evaluation	
1.11.	Implementation and Monitoring	
2. T	ORNGAT MOUNTAINS NATIONAL PARK OVERVIEW	12
2.1.	Overview	.12
2.2.	Visitor Numbers	.13
2.3.	Hazards	.13
2.4.	Natural Hazards	.14
2.4.1		
2.4.2	2. Topographical Features	14
2.4.3	3. Water Hazards	15
2.4.4		
2.4.5	5. Rock, Ice and Snow Fall	16
2.4.6		
2.4.7	7. Wildlife	16
2.5.	Infrastructure Hazards	16
2.5.1		
2.5.2		
2.5.3		
2.5.4		
2.5.5		
2.5.6		
2.5.7		
2.5.8		
2.6.		
2.6.1		
2.6.2		
2.0.2		

This document may not be reproduced vithout the consent of the author 2015

3. LEV	/EL OF SERVICE FOR VISITOR SAFETY	
	evel of service for preventing visitor safety incidents	
3.1.1.	General information:	
3.1.2.	Education infor mation:	
3.1.3.	Plans, Policies and Procedures	
3.1.4.	Communications Infrastructure	
3.1.5.	Emergency Caches	
3.2. L	evel of service for incident response	22
3.2.1.	Standard Emergency Response	
3.2.2.	Medical Response:	25
3.2.3.	Search and Rescue response:	
3.3. Ju	ıstification	25
3.4. E	xternal response organizations	26
3.4.1.	Ambulance Service	
3.4.2.	Police	
3.4.3.	Ground Search and Rescue	
3.4.4.	Air Search and Rescue Service	
3.4.5.	Marine Search and Rescue Services	
3.4.6.	Parc national Kuururjuaq	
3.4.7.	Nuniatsiavut Group of Companies (NGC)	
3.5. Ir	iternal response capabilities	29
3.5.1.	Parks Canada SAR team	29
3.5.2.	Chartered Helicopter	29
4. AC	FIVITY RISK ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	29
4.1. C	ontrol Analysis & Priority Setting	
4.1.1.	Table 1 – Summary of Risk & Control Scores	
Table	2 – Activity Risk Ranking	
Table	3 – Control Action Plan for Priority Issues	
5. AP	PENDICES	
5.1. A	ppendix 1 – Comparative Assessment Level of Service	
5.2. A	ppendix 2 – Visitor Activity Risk Assessments	
1.1.1	Backpacking	41
1.1.2	Boat Tours – Day Trips and Multi-day Tours	
1.1.3	Camping – Backcountry	
1.1.4	Camping – Serviced at Base Camp & Research Station	61
1.1.5	Canoeing/Kayaking – Coastal/Ocean	
1.1.6	Climbing – Mountaineering/Scrambling	
1.1.7	Cruise Ship Passenger Shore Visits	
1.1.8	Helicopter Transportation	
1.1.9	Hiking/Walking	
1.1.10	Nature Viewing – Bird Watching, Sightseeing, Wildlife Obs, Photography, Historic Site	
1.1.11	Sail Cruising	
1.1.12	Snowmobiling	
	ervice Level Operating Guidelines	
	mergency Response Protocols	
J.4. C	mergency neaponse Protocola	

5.2. Appendix 2 – Visitor Activity Risk Assessments

### Visitor Safety: Visitor Activity Risk Assessment Templates

HAZARDS	1	Ris Rati		RIS	K CONTROL MEASURES		Cor Ra	ntro ting	
List the hazards that could cause injury when the activity is performed.	Consequence (1-5)	Likelihood (1-5)	Risk Score (C X L)	<ul> <li>media and staff contact through ve</li> <li>Infrastructure includes all perma</li> <li>Plans, Policies &amp; Procedures in Manuals, Local Procedures and Sys</li> </ul>	all communications associated with websites, signs, publications, rbal communications and physical presences of staff. nent and temporary means to alter the environment. cludes all Guidelines, SOP's, SWP's, Directions, Inductions, Training tems that are relevant eactive and proactive response actions to hazards or incidents.	Adequate (1,2,3)	Docum ented (1,3,6)	Applied (1,2,3)	Control Score (A+D+A)
				• Education/Information	<ul> <li>Pre-visit information on website, in Registration, Safety Rules and Regulations pre-trip package, and in brochures</li> <li>Staff awareness of water quality issues</li> <li>Staff verbal communication</li> </ul>	1	1	1	3
Water contamination /parasites	1	3	3	Infrastructure	<ul> <li>Provide safe drinking water at the Base Camp &amp; Research Station</li> <li>Encourage water filtration in the backcountry</li> </ul>	1	1	1	3
				Plans/Policy/Procedure	<ul> <li>Apply the Safe Drinking Water Guidelines and testing procedures</li> </ul>	1	1	1	3
				Incident Response	<ul> <li>Emergency Contact Protocols</li> <li>Evacuation or external SAR resource as required</li> </ul>	1	1	1	3

### Backpacking Risk Assessment

produced thor 2015

			Education/Information     Infrastructure	<ul> <li>Pre-visit information on hiking route status on website and in pre-visit information packages</li> <li>Route brochures and maps</li> <li>Information on type of trail conditions underfoot (loose talus and boulders)</li> <li>Warnings in Waiver and Assumption of Risk document</li> <li>Staff awareness of route conditions</li> <li>Staff verbal communications</li> <li>Trail closures, maintenance plans,</li> </ul>	1	r¢10-01, r(008)   au/wrm	1	S RISK MANAGEMEN <sup>®</sup> CONFERC	
			Plans/Policy/Procedure	<ul> <li>Trail inspection regimes</li> <li>Trails and Back Country facility design guidelines</li> <li>Site Management Plan,</li> <li>Visitor safety Plan</li> </ul>	1	1	1	3	without
3	4	12	• Incident Response	<ul> <li>Trained staff appropriate to likelihood</li> <li>Appropriately maintained equipment</li> <li>Evacuation or external SAR resource as required</li> </ul>	1	1	1	3	the consent of the author
				<ul> <li>Infrastructure</li> <li>Plans/Policy/Procedure</li> <li>3 4 12</li> </ul>	3       4       12         3       4       12    on website and in pre-visit information packages • Route brochures and maps • Information on type of trail conditions underfoot (loose talus and boulders) • Warnings in Waiver and Assumption of Risk document • Staff awareness of route conditions • Staff avareness of route conditions • Infrastructure • Infrastructure and East Country facility design guidelines • Plans/Policy/Procedure • Plans/Policy/Procedure • Plans/Policy/Procedure • Plans/Policy/Procedure • Plans/Policy/Procedure • Site Management Plan, • Visitor safety Plan • Emergency Contact Protocols • Trained staff appropriate to likelihood • Appropriately maintained equipment • Evacuation or external SAR resource as required	3       4       12         3       4       12	3       4       12         3       4       12	3       4       12         3       4       12	3       4       12         3       4       12

С

Wildlife Encounters (Black & Polar Bears)	5	5	25	Infrastructure     Plans/Policy/Procedure	<ul> <li>Staff verbal communication</li> <li>Provide appropriate wildlife proof food and waste storage at the Base Camp and Research Station.</li> <li>Electric fences around the Base Camp and Research Station</li> <li>Electric fences strongly encouraged for all backcountry campsites</li> <li>Requirement to carry bear deterrents</li> <li>Firearm carried by bear monitors</li> <li>Wildlife/Human Conflict Plan, Site Management Plan, Safe Work Practices, Visitor Safety Plan, Standard Operating Procedures, Firearm Policy</li> </ul>	3	1	1	without the consent
				Incident Response		1	1	1	ent of themai

Backpacking 1.1.1 Action Plan - Red & Yellow Flag Issues + Risk & **Control Score Control Action** Control **Post-Treatment** Category Scores (Education/Infor ority/Timing sponsibility trol Score Priority mation, Proposed Treatment/Action Issue Infrastructure, k Score equate Plans/Policy/Proc edure, Incident

	Risk Scol	Control S	Plans/Policy/Proc edure, Incident Response)		Respons	Priority/	Adequat	Documer	Applied	Total Sco	OUTWART
				Red Flag					7	E	DOF
Bear contact	25	5	Infrastructure	<ul> <li>Portable electric fences and deterrents will not prevent all bear incidents</li> <li>Groups without accompanying Inuit bear monitors will remain at high risk</li> <li>Consideration should be given to permanently fenced campsites for campers</li> <li>Consideration should be given to permanent buildings/huts for campers</li> </ul>					<u>G</u>	CE	without the c
				Yellow Flag							<u>e</u>
Trail conditions	12	3	Education & Response	<ul> <li>Rough walking conditions and boulders will cause ankle, knee and leg injuries</li> <li>Education and warnings about walking conditions and evacuation capability must be available</li> </ul>							sent of the a

cumented

Score

### Table of Contents

- 1.1.1 Backpacking 41
- 1.1.2 Boat Tours Day Trips and Multi-day Tours48
- 1.1.3 Camping Backcountry 55
- 1.1.4 Camping Serviced at Base Camp & Research Station 61
- 1.1.5 Canoeing/Kayaking Coastal/Ocean 66
- 1.1.6 Climbing Mountaineering/Scrambling 72
- 1.1.7 Cruise Ship Passenger Shore Visits 77
- 1.1.8 Helicopter Transportation81
- 1.1.9 Hiking/Walking 87
- 1.1.10 Nature Viewing Bird Watching, Sightseeing, Wildlife Observation, Photography, Historic Site Visits 92
- 1.1.11 Sail Cruising 97
- 1.1.12 Snowmobiling 101

 _

#### 4.1.1. Table 1 – Summary of Risk & Control Scores

Activity (populate this column with all allowable activities in the management area – refer to VARAs)	Average Risk Score (Top 3 only) (1)	Average Control Score (2)	Combined Average Risk Score (1 + 2)	Highest Risk Score (3)	Highest Control Score (4)	
	Note: Highest 3 risk scores divided by 3	Note: Highest 3 control scores divided by				00) 710-66
Backpacking – Multi-day	45/3=15	11/3=3.66	18.66	25	5	30
Boat Tour – Day Trips & Multi-day trips	20/3=6.66	27/3=9	15.66	12	9	21
Camping – Backcountry	45/3=15	11/3=3.66	18.66	25	5	30
Camping - Serviced at Base Camp	23/3=7.66	9/3=3	10.66	9	3	12
Canoeing/Kayaking – Coastal/Ocean	45/3=15	17/3=5.66	20.66	25	9	34
Climbing – Mountaineering/Scrambling	24/3=8	13/3=4.33	12.33	9	7	16
Cruise Ship Passenger Shore Visits	17/3=5.66	9/3=3	8.66	9	3	12
Helicopter Transportation	30/3=10	9/3=3	13	12	6	18
Hiking/Walking – Day trips near Base Camp	45/3=15	9/3=3	18	25	3	28
Nature Viewing – Bird Watching, Sightseeing, Wildlife Observation, Photography, Historic Site Visitation	25/3 = 8.33	9/3=3	11.33	12	3	15
Sail Cruising	17/3=5.66	9/3=3	8.66	8	3	11
Snowmobiling	18/3=6	9/3=3	9	6	3	9
Level of Very High High	Moderate - Low		el of Po ntrol	or	Fair I	Excellent - Good
Code 21-25 13-20	1-12			-12	7-9	3-6

**[Table 2** – Activity Risk Ranking ranks the sites activities in order of risk exposure. As actions are completed or situations change, activities average score will change, moving that activity either up or down in the ranking table. Some activities that are more "risky" will be high on the list but have no Red or Yellow flags requiring action. These activities require monitoring and should be reviewed in the case of a significant incident to ensure controls are still adequate and effective.

Activity	Activity (Arrange activities in order highest to	Combined	Combined	Percentage of	Red or
Risk	lowest based on combined average score. If scores are	Average	Highest	Activity	Yellow
Ranking	equal, continue to sort by combined highest score and	Risk Score	<b>Risk Score</b>	Participation	Actions
_	percentage participation) Delete activities not			_	Identified
	applicable to the management area)				(Y or N)
1	Canoeing/Kayaking – Coastal-Ocean	20.66	34	<1%	Y
2	Backpacking – Multi-day	18.66	30	10%	Y
3	Camping – Backcountry	18.66	30	10%	Y
4	Hiking/Walking – Day Trips near Base Camp	18	28	90%	Y
5	Boat Tour – Day Trips & Multi-Day	15.66	21	90%	Y
6	Helicopter Transportation	13	18	80%	Y
7	Climbing – Mountaineering/Scrambling	12.33	16	<1%	Y
8	Nature Viewing	11.33	15	100%	Y
9	Camping – Serviced at Base Camp	10.66	12	90%	Y
10	Cruise Ship Passenger Shore Visits	8.66	12	40%	Y
11	Sail Cruising	8.66	11	<1%	Y
12	Snowmobiling	8.66	9	<1%	Y

Table 2 - Activity Risk Ranking (populate as per risk assessment results and Table 1 data)
Parks Canada Torngat Mountains National Park Visitor Safety Plan (2014)

 Table 3 – Control Action Plan for Priority Issues summarises all identified Red and Yellow risk controls, its priority and timeframe. This Table now provides a summary of the hazards and issues that have a fair or poor level of control. This table is to be used for work planning, budgeting and program development. Further details can be found in the individual activity risk assessment.

Activity	Hazard or Issue	Control Action Category	<b>Current Risk Score</b>	Current Control Score	Proposed Priority Actions	Projected Risk Score	Projected Control Score	Responsibility	Timeframe	Priority
Canoeing/Kayaking – Coastal/Ocean	Bear Contact	Education & Policy	25	9	<ul> <li>Need to develop policy &amp; procedures regarding the activity of multi-day coastal paddling trips</li> <li>Strong information packages, assumption of risk, and legal releases are required</li> </ul>					
Backpacking & Camping	Bear Contact	Infrastructure	25	5	<ul> <li>Strongly encourage groups to hire Inuit bear monitors</li> <li>Consideration should be given to permanently fenced campsites for campers</li> <li>Consideration should be given to permanent buildings/huts for campers</li> </ul>					
Hiking/Walking	Bear Contact	Education, Infrastructure & Procedure	25	3	• Individuals and groups should be accompanied by Inuit bear					

#### Table 3 – Control Action Plan for Priority Issues

# SAR TEAM RISK ASSESSMENT (RADEMS TOOL)

Case #3

www.nols.edu/wrmc | (800) 710-6657 x3

without the consent of the author 2015



Government of Canada

Gouvernement du Canada

National Search and Rescue Secretariat

du Canada Secrétariat national Recherche et sauvetage







Emergency Management

# GROUND SAR RESPONSE ASSESSMENT AND DECISION MAKING (RADEMS)

without the consent of the author 2015

# ALTERNATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL FORMATS

#### **HEAT MAPS/MATRICES**

Impact	Ris	sk Management Actions		
Significant	Considerable management required	Must manage and monitor risks	Extensive management essential	
Moderate	Risks may be worth accepting with monitoring	Management effort worthwhile	Management effort required	
Minor	Accept risks	Accept, but monitor risks	Manage and monitor risks	
	Low	Medium	High	
		Likelihood		



#### **CHECK LISTS**



#### **DYNAMIC TOOLS**





his document may not be reproduce vithout the consent of the author 201

# ALTERNATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL FORMATS

US Coast Guard GAR Model - Capt. Scott LaRochelle

- Another model used for SAR risk assessment is the GAR Model (Green, Amber, Red)
- Six elements:
  - Supervision 0-10
  - Planning 0-10
  - Crew selection 0-10
  - Crew fitness 0-10
  - Environment 0-10
  - Event complexity 0-10
- Assign a score 0-10 for each element





This document may not be reproduced without the consent of the author 2018

# ALTERNATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL FORMATS

#### AIR FORCE EXAMPLE – COMBINATION HEAT MAP/FORM

TF Pegası High-Risk	s Decision Matrix				
DTG: 231630ZOCT07 TF: TF Talon CG GO CG NO-GO					
MISSION: 3xUSMil, 2x	rg, 1xUrg-S 1xGSW-Arm, 2xGSW-Abd				
REMARKS:					
A/C PACKAGE					
MSN NECESSITY	TROOPS IN DNGR ENEMY TGT NOT TIME SENSITIVE				
MEDICAL NECESSITY	URGENT PRIORITY ROUTINE				
WX (D) CEILING & VIS	>700/2 >500/1 <500/1				
WX (N) CEILING & VIS	X >1,000/3 >700/2 <700/2 PRED: 2,000-3 WORST: 1,000-2				
ILLUM & ANGLE	X >79%, >30 40-78%, <30 39-25%, >Hor <25%, Below Hor MOON ANGLE: 10%				
ENEMY THREAT	X LOW MODERATE HIGH				
CREW RISK VALUE	LOW MODERATE HIGH EXTRA HIGH				
MSN PLANNING TIME	OPTIMUM = >6 Hrs. ADEQUATE = 3-6 Hrs. MINUMUM < 3 Hrs. JAF-BLE-ABAD-JAF				
FLIGHT ROUTE	GOOD MARGINAL DIFFICULT				
EOF (AH, HH)	GOOD MARGINAL POOR				
OTHER CONSIDERATIONS BN CDR RECOMMENDATION:	Crews >100 hrs. in area e.g., Single-ship Opns, No AH escort, UH >8,500 feet, CH>10,000 feet, etc.) Recommend Approval				
P6 RECOMMENDATION:	Recommend Approval, WX no less than 1 statute mile				

# **MATRIX DEVELOPMENT**

GENERIC 'IMPACT VS LIKELIHOOD' MATRIX (HEAT MAP)

EXAMPLE FROM MISSION SAR



	PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE					
CONSEQUENCES	VERY	UNLIKELY	LIKELY	VERY		
	UNLIKELY			LIKELY		
EATAL	HIGH RISK	VERY	VERY	VERY		
FATAL		HIGH RISK	HIGH RISK NACCEPTABI			
CEDIOUC	MEDIUM	HIGH RISK	VERYAD			
SERIOUS	RISK		HIGH RISK	HIGH RISK		
MODEDATE	LOW RISK	MEDIUM	HIGH RISK	VERY		
MODERATE		RISK		HIGH RISK		
MINOR	VERY LOW	LOW RISK	MEDIUM	HIGH RISK		
	RISK		RISK			

WILDERNESS RISK MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE WWW.nols.edu/Wrmc | (800) 710-6657 x3



## ALLIAT R SLOPE EVALUATION

+1

+1

+1

+1

+1

+1

#### AVALANCHE CONDITIONS

**Regional Danger Rating:** Is the avalanche danger rating "Considerable" or higher?

**Persistent Avalanche Problem:** Is there a persistent or deep persistent slab problem in the snowpack?

**Slab Avalanches:** Are there signs of slab avalanches in

the area from today or yesterday?

Signs of Instability: Are there signs of snowpack instability including whumpfs, shooting cracks or drum-like sounds?

Recent Loading: Has there been loading within the past 48 hours including roughly 30 cm of new snow or more, significant wind transport or rain?

#### **Critical Warming:**

1.00

40.0

Has there been a recent rapid rise in temperature to near 0 C, or is the upper snowpack wet due to strong sun, abovefreezing air temperatures or rain?

"喧!!

Avalanche Conditions Score:

#### TERRAIN CHARACTERISTICS

#### Slope Steepness: Is the slope steepness between 30

and 35 degrees?

Or

Is the slope steeper than 35 degrees?

+2

+1

Terrain Traps:

Are there gullies, trees or cliffs that increase the consequences of being caught in an avalanche?

Slope Shape: Is the slope convex or unsupported?

Forest Density: Is the slope in the alpine, in a sparsely treed area or in open forest (cut-block, burn, wide-spaced glades)?

**Terrain Characteristics Score:** 

Visit www.avalanche.ca for more information.



Anomalies in terrain and avalanche conditions may exist. Users of the AVALUATOR™ assume their own risk. © 2010 Canadian Avalanche Centre

## **ASSESSING SAR OPERATIONAL RISK**

(from Colorado Mountain Rescue)

- Activity: (defined by catastrophic potential as some activities are more dangerous than others) helicopter long-line, swiftwater, rope rescue, mountain rescue, avalanche rescue or a search
- Personnel physical elements (skills, knowledge & experience): physical conditioning, physical skills, rescue techniques, emergency medical providers, experience in the environment, food & water, sleep
- Personnel mental elements: hazard recognition, situational awareness, adaptability and flexibility, deviations from established plans, judgment and leadership, teamwork, knowledge of resources available
- Personnel emotional elements: ego, who comes first, "Go fever," subjects emotional and psychological state, inter-team dynamics, leader control and authority
- External influences: public and official pressure, subject friends and family, media, other outside influences
- Survivability: who it is that is being rescued, what the medical necessity is
- Equipment: its appropriateness, its quality/quantity, maintenance, knowledge of how to use it
- Planning: time available, completeness, quality of information available, unknowns

# **Assessing SAR Operational Risks**

**DEVELOPMENT OF 'X' AND 'Y' AXIS COMPONENTS (ELEMENTS)** 

1. <u>SAR Operation Risk</u> Risks associated with: Complexity Activity Hazards Environmental Conditions Vulnerability (team exposure) External Influences 2. <u>Team Capability</u> Risks associated with lack of: Personnel Training Personnel Experience Personnel Preparedness (mental and physical) Planning Resources

## SAR Operation Risk Score

SAR Operatio	nal Complexity		
How complex	& complicated is the	he task?	
LOW	MODERATE	HIGH	
0	1	2	
Activity Haza	rds		
	the hazards in the	activities?	
LOW	MODERATE	HIGH	
0	1	2	
Environment	al Conditions		
	the environmental	hazards?	
LOW	MODERATE	HIGH	
0	1	2	
	•		
Vulnerability			
How exposed	and vulnerable are	e the team	
members?			
LOW	MODERATE	HIGH	
0	1	2	
External Influ	ience		
What is the le	evel of pressure due	eto	
	media, family and/o		
LOW	MODERATE	HIGH	
0	1	2	
		Total Score:	

## Response Capability Score

Personnel Tra	<b>ining</b> training do personn	al have?	vrmo
HIGH	MODERATE	LOW	00
U	1	2	3
Personnel Exp	perience		7
What level of	experience do perso	onnel have?	0
HIGH	MODERATE	LOW	6
0	1	2	5
	ental & Physical Prep & physically prepar		X3
HIGH	MODERATE	LOW	
0	1	2	
Planning How much pla	anning has there be	en?	
HIGH	MODERATE	LOW	
0	1	2	
Resources What is the le	vel of resources ava MODERATE	ilable? Low	
0	1	2	
		Total Score:	

See rating guide for more thorough interpretation of scores on specific SAR scenarios.



# **RATING GUIDES**

**OPERATION RISK SCORE – GROUND SEARCH** 

			1a SAR OPERATION RISK SCORE RATING GUIDE – GROUND SEARCH
	0	LOW	Simple operation, requiring one or two single activities (e.g. Locate and escort to safety). 1-8 hour duration. Minor subject injuries.
Operational Complexity	1	MODERATE	More complex operation, requiring multiple activities (e.g. Locate and evacuate using a litter) with some challenges both in access and egress. 8-24 hour duration. Significant non-life-threatening injuries
	2	HIGH	Very complex operation involving multiple teams. Convergent volunteers. Difficult access and egress. Helicopter transport. Multi-day or multi- organization response. Life-threatening injuries.
	0	LOW	Simple basic GSAR activities; orienteering, tracking and/or sweep searching. Simple terrain. Hazards are low probability and low severity.
Activity Hazards	1	MODERATE	Potential for several disciplines required to access and evacuate the subject. Challenging terrain. Hazard probability high but severity manageable.
ACTIVITY Hazards	2	HIGH	Response may require use of several technical disciplines; rope rescue, swiftwater and/or avalanche assessment. Difficult terrain. Hazards are high probability and high severity.
	0	LOW	Minimal hazards. Good weather, stable forecast, daylight, warm temperatures and/or good visibility. Low elevation.
Environmental	1	MODERATE	Moderate hazards. Uncertain forecast, low light, freezing temperature, moderate precipitation and/or broken visibility.
Conditions	2	нідн	Extreme hazards. Impending forecast, drastic weather system, darkness, cold temperatures, heavy precipitation, high wind and/or obscured visibility.
	0	LOW	Minimal exposure to personnel. Good searching terrain. <1 hour exposure. <3 searchers exposed. Full 2-way communications.
Vulnerability	1	MODERATE	Moderate exposure to personnel due to activity/environmental hazards and/or weather. Moderate terrain hazards. 1-4 hours exposure. 3-12 searchers exposed. Intermittent communications and/or relay available if required.
	2	нідн	High exposure due to extreme activity/environmental hazards and/or weather. Broken terrain, talus slopes and/or cliff bands. >6 hours exposure. searchers exposed. Poor or no communications with field personnel.
	0	LOW	Little or no degree of urgency due to either confirmed survivability or confirmed deceased (recovery). Little or no pressure from family, media or agency.
External Influence	1	MODERATE	Some degree of urgency due to subject survivability factors. Local publicity. Family on scene. Agency and/or press asking questions.
initialite	2	HIGH	High degree of urgency due to critical subject survivability factors. High profile subject. Family on scene. Agency, national media influence and/or D
			thor 2015

## **RATING GUIDES**

#### **RESPONSE CAPABILITY SCORE – GROUND SEARCH**

			1b RESPONSE CAPABILITY SCORE RATING GUIDE – GROUND SEARCH
Personnel Training	0	HIGH	Documented training proficiency appropriate for the activities required. Routinely train on incident site/area. High level of training (SAR Managers, Team Leaders, GSAR).
	1	MODERATE	Some training proficiency related to the activities required. Some training on incident site/area. Basic SAR team member training with Team Leader supervision.
	2	LOW	Little training proficiency related to the activities required. No training on, or familiarity with the incident site/area. No training in the terrain features. Low search member certification. New search team. Convergent volunteers used.
	<b>HIGH</b> Extensive experience appropriate for the activities required. Routinely respond to incident site/area. >20 similar responses. Many year experience. Professional experience.		Extensive experience appropriate for the activities required. Routinely respond to incident site/area. >20 similar responses. Many years of recreational experience. Professional experience.
Personnel Experience	1	MODERATE	Some experience related to the activities required. Some previous responses to site/area. 5-20 similar responses. Significant recreational experience.
Experience	2	LOW	Little or no experience related to the activities required. Completely unfamiliar with the location. <5 similar responses. Little or no recreational experience.
Personnel	0	HIGH	Personnel are in good spirits, well-rested and exhibit characteristics of a cohesive team.
Mental &	1	MODERATE	Personnel are generally positive although some are tiring. Adequate physical condition.
Physical Preparedness	2	LOW	Personnel are negative and question decisions (see note on page 13). Resources have been on task through several assignments and are showing signs of exhaustion. Searchers have been involved for multi-operational periods. Unfit/does not exercise on a regular basis.
	0	HIGH	Plans (and/or Pre-plans) are in place, including contingencies, all documented.
Planning	1	MODERATE	Overall plan is in place, with some consultation. Basic notes taken about the site.
	2	LOW	Basic discussions on overall strategies and tactics. Nothing in writing for this site.
	0	HIGH	Appropriate resources are in place to cover all anticipated eventualities, contingencies and multi-operational periods.
Resources	1	MODERATE	Basic resources are in place to cover response. Back-up is available, but not on site.
	2	LOW	Resources are barely adequate. No back-up or contingency available.

#### Mountain Rescue

			3a SAR OPERATION RISK SCORE RATING GUIDE – MOUNTAIN RESCUE
Onemational	0	LOW	Simple operation. 4 <sup>th</sup> class terrain. Trail approach. Top-down access, requires only rappelling in. Simple lower <50 meters in length. Straight forward evacuation. One subject. Minor subject injuries.
Operational Complexity	1	MODERATE	More complex operation. 5th class terrain. Knot pass raise and/or lower <100 meters in length. 2 or more subjects with non-life-threatening injuries.
	2	нібн	Very complex operation. Multiple teams. Convergent volunteers. Difficult access and egress. Multi-pitch raise and/or lower >100 meters in length. Complex evacuation. Life-threatening injuries.
	0	LOW	Low hazard mountain rescue activities. Low angle alpine site. No site hazards. Simple terrain. Hazards are low probability and low severity. 📃 💈
Activity Hazards	1	MODERATE	Moderate hazard mountain rescue activities. Glaciated alpine or steep alpine. Challenging terrain. Site hazards manageable. Hazard probability high but severity manageable.
	2	HIGH	High hazard mountain rescue. Glaciated & steep alpine. Avalanche, rock or icefall hazard likely, CDFL involved. Complex terrain. Site hazards not easily managed. Hazards are high probability and high severity.
	0	LOW	Minimal hazards. Good weather, stable forecast, daylight, warm temperatures and/or good visibility. Low elevation.
Environmental	1	MODERATE	Moderate hazards. Uncertain forecast, low light, freezing temperature, moderate precipitation and/or broken visibility.
Conditions	2	нібн	Extreme hazards. Impending forecast, drastic weather system, darkness, cold temperatures, heavy precipitation, high wind and/or obscured visibility.
	0	LOW	Minimal exposure to personnel. Good terrain to work in. No overhead hazards. <1 hour exposure. 1-2 rescuers exposed.
Vulnerability	1	MODERATE	Moderate exposure to personnel due to terrain/activity/environmental hazards and/or weather. Manageable overhead hazards. Safe zones exist to protect rescuers. 1-4 hours exposure. 2-4 rescuers exposed.
	2	нібн	High exposure due to extreme terrain/activity/environmental hazards and/or weather. High overhead hazards. Rock fall likely. No safe-zones to protective rescuers. >4 hours exposure. >4 rescuers exposed.
_	0	LOW	Little or no degree of urgency due to either confirmed survivability or confirmed deceased (recovery). Little or no pressure from family, media or agency.
External	1	MODERATE	Some degree of urgency due to subject survivability factors. Local publicity. Family on scene. Agency and/or press asking questions.
Influence	2	нібн	High degree of urgency due to critical subject survivability factors. High profile subject. Family on scene. Agency, national media influence and/or political pressure to resolve.

### Mountain Rescue

			3b RESPONSE CAPABILITY SCORE RATING GUIDE – MOUNTAIN RESCUE
	0	HIGH	Documented training proficiency appropriate for the activities required. Routinely train on incident site/area. Professional level certification (ACMG Alpine Guide). Instructor level mountain rescue certification.
Personnel Training	1	MODERATE	Some training proficiency related to the activities required. Some training on incident site/area. Mountain rescue training with team leader supervision.
•	2	LOW	Little training proficiency related to the activities or location required. No training on incident site/area. No training in the terrain features. Low mountain rescue certification. New rescue team.
	0	нібн	Extensive experience appropriate for the activities required. Routinely respond on incident site/area. >20 similar responses. Many years of recreational experience. Professional experience.
Personnel Experience	1	MODERATE	Some experience related to the activities required. Some previous responses on incident site/area. 5-20 similar responses. Significant recreational experience.
	2	LOW	Little or no experience related to the activities required. Completely unfamiliar with the location. <5 similar responses. Little or no recreational experience.
Personnel	0	HIGH	Personnel are in good spirits, well-rested and exhibit characteristics of a cohesive team.
Mental &	1	MODERATE	Personnel are generally positive although some are tiring. Adequate physical condition.
Physical Preparedness	2	LOW	Personnel are negative and question decisions (see note on page 13). Resources have been on task through several assignments and are showing signs of exhaustion. Responders have been involved for multi-operational periods. Unfit/does not exercise on a regular basis.
	0	HIGH	Plans (and/or Pre-plans) are in place, including contingencies, all documented.
Planning	1	MODERATE	Overall plan is in place, with some consultation. Basic notes taken.
	2	LOW	Basic discussions on overall strategies and tactics. Nothing in writing.
	0	HIGH	Appropriate resources and team are in place to cover all anticipated eventualities, contingencies and technical requirements.
Resources	1	MODERATE	Basic resources and team are in place to cover response. Back-up is available, but not on site.
	2	LOW	Resources and team are barely adequate. No back-up or contingency available.

# **RADeMS REFERENCE GUIDE**

### 8 ½" X 5 ½" SPIRAL-BOUND BOOKLET (WITH 2 CARDS)



# Justice Institute of British Columbia RADEMS website <u>http://host.jibc.ca/gsar/</u>

• RADEMS ap

This document may not be reproduced without the consent of the author 2015



# COME (80) 710-8857 X



#### IT'S NOT JUST THE SUBJECT THAT RELIES ON YOU $\circleon$





the author 2015

consent